

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,887	12/15/2003	Robert F. D'Ausilio	IOS9601CIPB	5753
75	90 09/08/2006		EXAM	INER
Thomas N. Giaccherini			SWIATEK, ROBERT P	
Post Office Box Carmel Valley,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,,,,,,,		3643	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/736,887	D'AUSILIO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert P. Swiatek	3643				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	une 2006					
		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits i	is			
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposit	ion of Claims	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>57-63 and 103-116</u> is/are pending in	the application.		•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	· ·					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 57-63, 103-116 are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau		•				
* 8	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) U Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
rapei	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- a. A direct communication service using frequency bands 11 and 12 (claim 57).
- b. A direct communication service conducted in orbit around the Earth (claims 58, 110-115).
 - c. A direct communication service conducted beyond Earth orbit (claim 59).
- d. A direct communication service conducted at extremely high output power (claims 62, 104, 105).
 - e. A direct communication service conducted using a network (claim 63).
- f. A direct communication service employing frequencies of 100 GHz and greater (claim 107).

The species are independent or distinct because they are not believed to be obvious variants of one another.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 103, 60, 61, 106, 108, 109, 116 are generic.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

It is noted that applicants' response filed 21 June 2006 did not select a species for examination from the chosen category (in this case, the chosen category was the direct communication service). Claims 103-116 filed 20 March 2006 necessitated this second election requirement.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,887

Art Unit: 3643

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

RPS: ①571/272-6894

25 August 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 320 3643 Page 4